arXiv:1303.0182v2 [math.DG] 12 Mar 2013

The Killing vector field of the metric $II+III$ on Tangent Bundle

Melek Aras[*]

March 13, 2013

Abstract

The main purpose of the paper is to investigate Killing vector field on the tangent bundle $T\left(M_n\right)$ of the Riemannian manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $II+III$.

Keywords:Tesor bundle ; Riemannian metric;Complete and horizontal lift; Levi-Civita connections; Killing vector field

1.Introduction

Let M_n be a Riemannian manifold of class C^{∞} with g. Then the set $T\left(M_n\right)$ is tangent bundle over the manifold $\left(M_n\right)$. We denote by $\Im_q^p\left(M_n\right)$ the set of all tensor fields of type (p,q) in M_n and by $\pi: T\left(M_n\right)\rightarrow M_n$ the naturel projection over M_n. For $U\subset M_n$, $\left(x^i,x^{i'}\right)$, $i=1,...,n$ and $i'=n+1,...,2n$ are local coordinates in a neighborhood $\pi^{-1}\left(U\right)\subset T\left(M_n\right)$.

Let M_n be a Riemannian manifold with metric g whose components in a coordinate neighborhood U are g_{ji} . In the neighborhood $\pi^{-1}\left(U\right)$ of $T\left(M_n\right)$, U being a neighborhood of M_n, we put

$$\delta y^h=dy^h+\Gamma_i^h dx^i$$

with respect to the induced coordinates $\left(x^h,y^h\right)$ in $\pi^{-1}\left(U\right)\subset T\left(M_n\right)$, where $\Gamma_i^h=y^j\ \Gamma_{ji}^h$ and denoted by Γ_{ji}^h Christoffel sembols formed with g_{ji} .Then we see that

$$\begin{gathered} I: g_{ji}dx^j dx^i \\ II: 2g_{ji}dx^j\delta y^i \\ III: g_{ji}\delta y^j\delta y^i \end{gathered}$$

are all quadratic differential forms defined globally on the tangent bundle $T\left(M_n\right)$ over M_n and that

---

[*] Department of Mathematics,Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Giresun University, 28049, Turkey e-mail:melekaras25@hotmail.com;melek.aras@giresun.edu.tr

$$II+III: 2g_{ji}dx^{j}\delta y^{i}+g_{ji}\delta y^{j}\delta y^{i}$$

is non-singular and consequently can be regarded as Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle $T\left(M_{n}\right)$ over M_{n}.

The metric $II+III$ has components

$$II+III:\left(\widetilde{g}_{CB}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & g_{ji} \\ g_{ji} & g_{ji} \end{array}\right) \tag{1}$$

and consequently its contravariant components

$$\left(\widetilde{g}^{CB}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc} -g^{ji} & g^{ji} \\ g^{ji} & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{2}$$

with respect to the adapted frame on $T\left(M_{n}\right)$.

The frame components of Levi-Civita connection of lift metric $\widetilde{g}$ are as follows[3]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{h}=\Gamma_{ji}^{h}-\frac{1}{2}y^{b}\left(R_{bji}^{h}+R_{bij}^{h}\right), \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\overline{h}}=y^{b}R_{bji}^{h}, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\overline{ji}}^{\overline{h}}=0, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{h}=0 \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j\overline{i}}^{\overline{h}}=\Gamma_{ji}^{h}+\frac{1}{2}y^{b}R_{bij}^{h}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j\overline{i}}^{h}=-\frac{1}{2}y^{b}R_{bij}^{h}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\overline{j}i}^{\overline{h}}=\frac{1}{2}y^{b}R_{bji}^{h}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\overline{j}i}^{h}=-\frac{1}{2}y^{b}R_{bji}^{h} \end{array}\right. \tag{3}$$

where Γ_{ji}^{h} denote the Christoffel symbols constructed with g_{ji} on M_{n}.

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a vector field in $T\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{X}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{X}^{h} \\ \widetilde{X}^{\overline{h}} \end{array}\right)$ its components with respect to the adapted frame. Then covariant derivative $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{X}$ has components

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\gamma}\widetilde{X}^{\alpha}=D_{\gamma}\widetilde{X}^{\alpha}+\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha}\widetilde{X}^{\beta}, \tag{4}$$

$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha}$ being given by (3), where $D_{\gamma}=A_{\gamma}^{B}\partial_{B}$, $\left(A_{B}^{A}\right)=\left(B_{B}^{h}, C_{B}^{\overline{h}}\right)$, B_{B}^{h} and $C_{B}^{\overline{h}}$ being defined by $\left(B_{B}^{h}\right)=\left(\delta_{i}^{h}, 0\right)$, $\left(C_{B}^{\overline{h}}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{i}^{h}, \delta_{i}^{h}\right)$.

Consider a vector field X in M_{n}.Then its vertical lift ${}^{V}X$, complete lift ${}^{C}X$ and horizontal lift ${}^{H}X$ have respectively components

$$\left({}^{\prime}X^{A}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ X^{h} \end{array}\right), \left(\widetilde{X}^{A}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} X^{h} \\ \partial X^{h} \end{array}\right), \left(\overline{X}^{A}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} X^{h} \\ -\Gamma_{i}^{h}X^{i} \end{array}\right) \tag{5}$$

with respect to with respect to the induced coordinates in $T\left(M_{n}\right)$. Then their components ${}^{\prime}X^{\alpha}=A_{A}^{\alpha\prime}X^{A}$, $\widetilde{X}^{\alpha}=A_{A}^{\alpha}\widetilde{X}^{A}$, $\overline{X}^{\alpha}=A_{A}^{\alpha}\overline{X}^{A}$

with respect to the adapted frame are given respectively by

$$\left({}^{\prime}X^{\alpha}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ X^{h} \end{array}\right), \left(\widetilde{X}^{\alpha}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} X^{h} \\ \nabla X^{h} \end{array}\right), \left(\overline{X}^{\alpha}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} X^{h} \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{6}$$

where $\nabla X^{h}=y^{i}\nabla_{i}X^{h}$(see Sasaki [1]).

2. Killing vector fields

The covariant derivatives $\widetilde{\nabla}^V X$, $\widetilde{\nabla}^C X$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}^H X$ have respectively components

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^V_\beta X^\alpha\right) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sij} X^j & 0 \\ \nabla_i X^h + \frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sij} X^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^C_\beta X^\alpha\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X^h & \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X^h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X^{\bar{h}} & \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X^{\bar{h}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X^h = \nabla_i X^h \quad -\frac{1}{2} y^s \left(R^h_{sji} + R^h_{sij}\right) X^j - \frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sij} \nabla_l X^j y^l \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X^h = -\frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sji} X^j \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X^{\bar{h}} = \nabla_i \nabla_l X^h y^l + y^s R^h_{sij} X^j + \frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sij} \nabla X^j \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X^{\bar{h}} = \nabla_i X^h + \frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sji} X^j \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^H_\beta X^\alpha\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_i X^h - \frac{1}{2} y^s \left(R^h_{sji} + R^h_{sij}\right) X^j & -\frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sji} X^j \\ y^s R^h_{sji} X^j & \frac{1}{2} y^s R^h_{sji} X^j \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

with respect to the adapted frame,because of (3) and (6).
Thus we have

Theorem 1 *The vertical, complete and horizontal lifts of a vector field in M_n to $T(M_n)$ with the metric $II + III$ are parallel if and only if the given vector field in M_n is parallel[5].*

Given a vector field $\widetilde{X}$ in $T(M_n)$, the $1 - form$, $\widetilde{\omega}$ defined by $\widetilde{\omega}\left(\widetilde{Y}\right) = \widetilde{g}\left(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}\right)$, $\widetilde{Y}$ being an arbitrary element of $T^1_0(M_n)$, is called the covector field associated with $\widetilde{X}$ and denoted by $\widetilde{X}^*$. If $\widetilde{X}$ has local components $\widetilde{X}^A$, then the associated covector field $\widetilde{X}^*$of $\widetilde{X}$ has local components $\widetilde{X}_C = \widetilde{g}_{CA}\widetilde{X}^A$.

Let ω be a $1-form$ in M_n with components ω_i. Then the vertical , complete and horizontal lifts of ω to $T(M_n)$ have respectively components[6]

$$\left({}^V\omega_B\right) = (\omega_i, 0), \qquad \left({}^C\omega_B\right) = (\partial\omega_i, \omega_i), \qquad \left({}^H\omega_B\right) = \left(-\Gamma^k_i\omega_k, \omega_i\right)$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in $T(M_n)$.

The associated covector fields of the vertical,complete and horizontal lifts to $T(M_n)$, with the metric to $II + III$, of a vector field X with components X^h in M_n are respectively

$$\left({}^V X_\beta\right) = (X_i, X_i), \quad \left({}^C X_\beta\right) = (\nabla X_i, X_i + \nabla X_i), \quad \left({}^H X_\beta\right) = (0, X_i) \tag{10}$$

with respect to the adapted frame, where $X_i = g_{ih}X^h$. Thus the rotations of ${}^{V}X$, ${}^{C}X$ and ${}^{H}X$ have respectively components

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{V}_{\beta} X_\alpha - \widetilde{\nabla}^{V}_{\alpha} X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i + \left(R^h_{sji} - R^h_{sij}\right) y^s X_h & \nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\beta} X_\alpha - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\alpha} X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{i} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{j} X_i & \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{i} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{j}} X_i \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{i}} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{j} X_{\overline{i}} & \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{i}} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{j}} X_{\overline{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{i} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{j} X_i = \left(\nabla_i \nabla_l - \nabla_l \nabla_i\right) y^l X_j + \left(R^h_{sji} - R^h_{sij}\right) y^s \nabla X_h + \left(R^h_{sij} - R^h_{sji}\right) y^s X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{i} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{j}} X_i = \left(\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i\right) + \left(\nabla_i \nabla_l - \nabla_l \nabla_i\right) y^l X_j \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{i}} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{j} X_{\overline{i}} = -\frac{1}{2} y^s \left(R^h_{sij} - R^h_{sji}\right) X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{i}} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{C}_{\overline{j}} X_{\overline{i}} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\beta} X_\alpha - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\alpha} X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{i} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{j} X_i & \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{i} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{j}} X_i \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{i}} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{j} X_{\overline{i}} & \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{i}} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{j}} X_{\overline{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\beta} X_\alpha - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\alpha} X_\beta\right) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{i} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{j} X_i = \left(R^h_{sij} - R^h_{sji}\right) y^s X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{i} X_{\overline{j}} - {}^{H}_{\overline{j}} X_i = \left(\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(R^h_{sji} - R^h_{sij}\right) y^s X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{i}} X_j - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{j} X_{\overline{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R^h_{sij} - R^h_{sji}\right) y^s X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{i}} X_{\overline{j}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{H}_{\overline{j}} X_{\overline{i}} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

with respect to the adapted frame.

From (12), we see that

$$\nabla_i X_j - \nabla_j X_i = 0, \quad \nabla_i \nabla_l X_j = 0, \tag{14}$$

if the complete lift of X^* is closed in $T\left(M_n\right)$. Further, if the conditions (14) are satisfied, we easily deduce that $\left(R^h_{sji} - R^h_{sij}\right) y^s \nabla X_h = 0$ and $\left(R^h_{sij} - R^h_{sji}\right) y^s X_h = 0$, so that the complete lift of X^* is closed in $T\left(M_n\right)$ if X^* is closed and the second covariant derivative of X vanishes in M_n.

A vector field $X \epsilon \Im^1_0\left(M_n\right)$ is said to be a Killing vector field of a Riemannian manifold with metric g, if $L_X g = 0$[4]. In terms of components g_{ji} of g, X is a Killing vector field if only if

$$L_X g = X^\alpha \nabla_\alpha g_{ji} + g_{\alpha i} \nabla_j X^\alpha + g_{j\alpha} \nabla_i X^\alpha = \nabla_j X_i + \nabla_i X_j = 0,$$

X^α being components of X, where ∇ is the Riemannian connection of the metric g.

The Lie derivatives of the metric $II+III$ with respect to ${}^VX$, ${}^CX$ and ${}^HX$ have respectively components

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^V_\beta X_\alpha + \widetilde{\nabla}^V_\alpha X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i & \nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^C_\beta X_\alpha + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_\alpha X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_j X_i & \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{j}} X_i \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_j X_{\bar{i}} & \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{j}} X_{\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_j X_i = \nabla_i \nabla_l X_j y^l + \left(R_{hsij} + R_{hsji}\right) X^h y^s \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_i X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{j}} X_i = \left(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i\right) + \left(\nabla_i \nabla_l X_j + \nabla_j \nabla_l X_i\right) y^l \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_j X_{\bar{i}} = -\frac{1}{2} y^s \left(R^h_{sij} + R^h_{sji}\right) X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{i}} X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^C_{\bar{j}} X_{\bar{i}} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^H_\beta X_\alpha + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_\alpha X_\beta\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nabla}^H_i X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_j X_i & \widetilde{\nabla}^H_i X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{j}} X_i \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{i}} X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_j X_{\bar{i}} & \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{i}} X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{j}} X_{\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\nabla}^H_i X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_j X_i = y^s \left(R^h_{sij} + R^h_{sji}\right) X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^H_i X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{j}} X_i = \nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i + \frac{1}{2} \left(R^h_{sji} + R^h_{sij}\right) y^s X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{i}} X_j + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_j X_{\bar{i}} = -\frac{1}{2} y^s \left(R^h_{sij} + R^h_{sji}\right) X_h \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{i}} X_{\bar{j}} + \widetilde{\nabla}^H_{\bar{j}} X_{\bar{i}} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

with respect to the adapted frame in $T\left(M_n\right)$.

Since we have

$$\nabla_i \nabla_l X_j + \left(R_{hsij} + R_{hsji}\right) X^h = 0$$

as a consequence of $\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i = 0$ (*see*[4]), we conclude by means of (16) that the complete lift ${}^CX$ is a Killing vector field in $T\left(M_n\right)$ if only if X is a a Killing vector field in M_n.

We next have

$$\left(R_{hsij} + R_{hsji}\right) X^h = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(R^h_{sij} + R^h_{sji}\right) X_h = 0$$

as a consequence of the vanishing of the second covariant derivative of X .

Summing up these results, we have

Theorem 2 *Necessary and sufficient condition in order that* (*a*) *complete,* (*b*) *horizontal lifts to* $T\left(M_n\right)$ *with the metric* $II+III$ *, of a vector field* X *in* M_n*, be a Killing vector field in* $T\left(M_n\right)$ *respectively are that,* (*a*) X *is a Killing vector field with vanishing covaryant derivative in* M_n*,* (*b*) X *is a Killing vector field with vanishing second covaryant derivative in* M_n*.*

References

[1] Sasaki, S. On the Differential Geometry of Tangent Bundles of Riemannian Manifolds, II, Tôhoku Math. jour., 14., 14(1962), 146-155.

[2] Steenrod, N. The Topology of Fibre Bundles (Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton, NJ., 1951)

[3] Tarakci, O., Gezer, A., and Salimov, A. A. On solutions of IHPT equations on tangent bundle with the metric II+III. Math.Comput. Modelling, 2009, 50, 953-958.

[4] Yano, K., The Theory of Lie Derivatives and Its Applications, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1957.

[5] Yano,K., and Davies,E. T. On the tangent Bundles of Finsler and Riemannian Manifolds, rend. Circ. Mat Palermo, 12(1963), 211-228.

[6] Yano, K. and Ishıhara, S. Tangent and Cotangent Bundles, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 1973.